

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

REGINALD McDONALD,)	3:13-cv-00240-MMD-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
vs.)	
)	December 4, 2014
OLIVAS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Motion to Compel (Doc. # 103)

Before the court are multiple filings which flow from Plaintiff's filing of a motion to compel discovery. (Doc. # 103.) Those documents are:

9//14/14	Doc. # 103,	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
9/25/14	Doc. # 108,	Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement Exhibits
10/22/14	Doc. # 122,	Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Compel
10/27/14	Doc. # 126,	Plaintiff's Partial Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Compel
11/4/14	Doc. # 131,	Plaintiff's Motion to Reply to the Remainder of Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Compel
11/7/14	Doc. # 134,	Defendants' Supplement to Opposition to Motion to Compel
11/12/14	Doc # 136,	Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Supplement

Plaintiff's motion to supplement exhibits (Doc. # 108) is **GRANTED**. The court will consider Plaintiff's filing as a component of the various filings submitted by the parties relative to he motion to compel.

Plaintiff's filing entitled, "Motion to Reply to the Remainder of Defendant(s) Opposition to Motion to Compel, Doc. #131, had already been docketed as Plaintiff's Reply memorandum. Plaintiff is reminded the Local Rules automatically allow a party to file a reply to a response or opposition to a motion. (L.R. 7-2(c)); therefore, Plaintiff does not have to file a motion for leave to file a reply memorandum.

